A Proposal to use ABS as a Proof of Concept Platform for New Theories

S. Lizeth Tapia Tarifa

University of Oslo, Norway

sltarifa@ifi.uio.no

TU Darmstadt - Germany, May 2018

## ABS and its Application's Domain

- Distributed software application (e.g., cloud computing, distributed work processing)
- Cyber physical systems (e.g., software updates for cars)
- Distributed business/operational processes (e.g., operational planning, railway operations)
- Formal systems (e.g, OS: multicore data access, memory models)
- Biological systems

• ...

So far ABS has been able to closely represent the intended domain

- User defined data types and functions: allows to express and manipulate data for various domains
- Synchronous and asynchronous communication: helps to naturally describe interactions between objects/components
- Cooperative scheduling:

naturally describe concurrent workflows.

## ABS as a Proof of Concept Platform

Can we use ABS as proof of concept platform for new theories?

### Proof of Concept (POC)

- Demonstrate how a new concept/theory has the potential to be applied to real applications
- Test new concept/theory under certain assumptions and demonstrate their functionality
- Observe the functionality of a concept/theory when it is integrated into a model of an existing system
- Explore an emerging concept/theory and provide evidence to the potential stakeholders

# Built an ABS executable model that gives an idea how a theory/concept could potentially work

## A Concrete New Concept: Location Types

### Problem Domain:



- Unnecessary movement of data affects performance
- Disconnection between locations for processing and locations of data

Location	Lx			Ly			Lz			
Address	 0x11cedf		0x11cee2	0x11cee3		0x11cee5	0x11cee6		0x11cee8	
Value										

### Memory footprints:

- Use the idea of abstract locations in main memory to approximate reads and writes data access
- Develop a type system that uses locations to statically extract and describe how workflows interact with Memory

## Type system to predict data accesses



## Type Analysis

#### • Standard type system

- Variables, pointers and addresses are in the right locations
- Check that all locations are understood in the different tasks/processes
- Check that references of variables are contained in only one location in main memory
- Check that the state of the local data complies with the state in the main memory
- Advance behavioural types "memory access footprints"
  - Check that the runtime system accesses locations as expected during execution (e.g., reading/writing)
  - Check that the cache memory (set of locations), changes as expected during execution
- Types at runtime model based scheduling and allocation decisions
  - Can we make use of memory access footprints for scheduling and allocation?

# Starting point in ABS: A multicore layer of execution with coherent caches and shared memory



Can we build and use this layer as an API similar to the cloud API?

## Approach



## Validation: simulations with measurements



- What is missing: Visualisation targeting multicore execution
- What is challenging: how to relate the theory to the model?
  - Is it a one to one matching between the theory and the model?
  - can we develop a simulation/bisimulation relation method?
  - can we express it as properties to the proof system?

# Can we use ABS as proof of concept platform for location types and their use for schedulers and allocators?

### Proof of Concept for Location Types

- Demonstrate how *Location Types* have the potential to be applied in parallel software
- Test *Location Types* under certain assumptions and demonstrate their functionality for schedulers and allocators
- Observe the functionality of *Location Types* when it is integrated into a model of a multicore architecture running parallel tasks.
- Explore Location Types and provide evidence to potential stakeholders

## • What is missing:

Visualisation in ABS targeting multicore execution

### • What is challenging:

how to relate the theory to the ABS model?

- Is it a one to one matching between the theory and the model?
- can we develop a simulation/bisimulation relation method?
- can we express it as properties to the proof system?

### • Are there other challenges?

## THANK YOU