

# Locally Abstract, Globally Concrete Semantics



## A Painless Introduction By Dr. Hähnle

Reiner Hähnle  
Software Engineering Group



## A Trace Semantics for Concurrent Programs

**Input:** a concurrent program  $P$ , an initial state  $\sigma$

**Output:** all traces  $\tau$  of  $P$  starting in  $\sigma$

## A Trace Semantics for Concurrent Programs

**Input:** a concurrent program  $P$ , an initial state  $\sigma$

**Output:** all traces  $\tau$  of  $P$  starting in  $\sigma$

## Design goals

- Suitable as a semantics for a **program verifier**
- **Modular** in the sense of:
  - can add new language concepts **incrementally**
  - can evaluate **locally** one statement at a time
  - can constructively and incrementally **generate** finite/initial **traces**

# Existing Solutions

SOS	Plotkin et al.	not modular
Transition traces	Brookes	very complex, infinite objects
Action traces	Brookes	complex, limited coverage
Hybrid traces w/ explicit heap	Kamburjan	complex, infinite objects

# The Challenge



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

`m(x) { body } // Executing asynchronously called method`

# The Challenge



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

`m(x) { body } // Executing asynchronously called method`

We don't know the context needed for **local** evaluation

- Caller ?
- Processor (executing object) ?
- Parameter values ?
- Destiny ?

# The Challenge

`m(x) { body } // Executing asynchronously called method`

We don't know the context needed for **local** evaluation

- Caller ?
- Processor (executing object) ?
- Parameter values ?
- Destiny ?

`s0 ; si // Composite statement`

How to evaluate the  $s_i$  **incrementally**?

# The Approach (I)



Unknown context represented with symbolic expressions

# The Approach (I)

Unknown context represented with symbolic expressions

## Parametric Execution Traces

**Symbolic state:** Partial mapping from variables to symbolic expressions

Unknown context represented with symbolic expressions

## Parametric Execution Traces

**Symbolic state:** Partial mapping from variables to symbolic expressions

Variable	Value
x	X
X	*
Y	X
z	$x + 1 + 1$

value expression is symbolic variable (caps)  
symbolic variables have unknown value  
no chains  
maximally evaluated

Unknown context represented with symbolic expressions

## Parametric Execution Traces

**Symbolic state:** Partial mapping from variables to symbolic expressions

Variable	Value
x	X
X	*
Y	*
z	X + 2

value expression is symbolic variable (caps)  
symbolic variables have unknown value  
**no chains**  
**maximally evaluated**

Unknown context represented with symbolic expressions

## Parametric Execution Traces

**Symbolic state:** Partial mapping from variables to symbolic expressions

Variable	Value
x	X
X	*
Y	
z	X + 2

value expression is symbolic variable (caps)  
symbolic variables have unknown value  
**no chains**  
**maximally evaluated**

Traces over **symbolic** states with **path conditions**

Unknown context represented with symbolic expressions

## Parametric Execution Traces

**Symbolic state:** Partial mapping from variables to symbolic expressions

Variable	Value
$x$	$X$
$X$	*
$Y$	
$z$	$X + 2$

value expression is symbolic variable (caps)  
symbolic variables have unknown value  
**no chains**  
**maximally evaluated**

Traces over **symbolic** states with **path conditions**

$$pc_0 \triangleright \tau_0 = \{Y_0 > 0\} \triangleright \langle [x_0 \mapsto Y_0 + 42, Y_0 \mapsto *] \rangle \curvearrowright [x_0 \mapsto 17, Y_0 \mapsto *]$$

How to ensure that incrementally produced traces are well-formed?

For example: a method must have been called before its body is executed



How to ensure that **incrementally** produced traces are **well-formed**?

For example: a method must have been called before its body is executed

## Events

Order-sensitive concurrent statements inject **events** into traces

$\tau \curvearrowright \text{invEv}(mtdName, value)$

How to ensure that **incrementally** produced traces are **well-formed**?

For example: a method must have been called before its body is executed

## Events

Order-sensitive concurrent statements inject **events** into traces

$$\tau \curvearrowright \text{invEv}(\text{mtdName}, \text{value})$$

## Well-Formedness

$$wf(\tau \curvearrowright \text{invREv}(m, v)) = wf(\tau) \wedge \#_\tau(\text{invEv}(m, v)) > \#_\tau(\text{invREv}(m, v))$$

How to evaluate composite statements incrementally?

## Continuations

Traces end with a continuation marker containing a statement:

$$pc \triangleright \tau \cdot \lambda(s) \in \mathbf{CTr}$$

**Meaning:** traces of  $s$  must still be generated and appended to  $\tau$

# How to Design an LAGC Semantics in 4 Easy Steps?



1. For each statement in the language design a **local** evaluation rule:

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTR}}$$

# How to Design an LAGC Semantics in 4 Easy Steps?



1. For each statement in the language design a **local** evaluation rule:

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTR}}$$

2. Design a **trace composition rule** extending traces and continuations:  
Given **concrete** trace  $sh$  and continuation  $\lambda(s)$ :

# How to Design an LAGC Semantics in 4 Easy Steps?

1. For each statement in the language design a **local** evaluation rule:

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

2. Design a **trace composition rule** extending traces and continuations:  
Given **concrete** trace  $sh$  and continuation  $\lambda(s)$ :
  - Evaluate first statement of  $s$  to  $t$  in state  $\text{last}(sh)$ , with  $\lambda(s')$  remaining

# How to Design an LAGC Semantics in 4 Easy Steps?

1. For each statement in the language design a **local** evaluation rule:

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

2. Design a **trace composition rule** extending traces and continuations:

Given **concrete** trace  $sh$  and continuation  $\lambda(s)$ :

- Evaluate first statement of  $s$  to  $\tau$  in state  $\text{last}(sh)$ , with  $\lambda(s')$  remaining
- Stitch  $sh$  and  $\tau$  together, ensure **well-formedness**, continuation now  $\lambda(s')$

# How to Design an LAGC Semantics in 4 Easy Steps?

1. For each statement in the language design a **local** evaluation rule:

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

2. Design a **trace composition rule** extending traces and continuations:

Given **concrete** trace  $sh$  and continuation  $\lambda(s)$ :

- Evaluate first statement of  $s$  to  $\tau$  in state  $\text{last}(sh)$ , with  $\lambda(s')$  remaining
- Stitch  $sh$  and  $\tau$  together, ensure **well-formedness**, continuation now  $\lambda(s')$

3. Design **well-formedness** predicate ensuring proper event order

# How to Design an LAGC Semantics in 4 Easy Steps?



1. For each statement in the language design a **local** evaluation rule:

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

2. Design a **trace composition rule** extending traces and continuations:

Given **concrete** trace  $sh$  and continuation  $\lambda(s)$ :

- Evaluate first statement of  $s$  to  $\tau$  in state  $\text{last}(sh)$ , with  $\lambda(s')$  remaining
- Stitch  $sh$  and  $\tau$  together, ensure **well-formedness**, continuation now  $\lambda(s')$

3. Design **well-formedness** predicate ensuring proper event order

4. To obtain **global trace semantics** of program  $P$ :

Exhaustively apply (2.) starting with  $\langle \sigma_{Init} \rangle$  and continuation  $\lambda(P)$

# Case Study 1: A While Language

The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

$$\text{val}_\sigma(\text{skip}) = \{\emptyset \triangleright \langle \sigma \rangle \cdot \lambda(\textcolor{red}{\square})\}$$

# Case Study 1: A While Language

The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

$$\text{val}_\sigma(x := e) = \{\emptyset \triangleright \langle \sigma \rangle \curvearrowright \sigma[x \mapsto \text{val}_\sigma(e)] \cdot \lambda(\square)\}$$

# Case Study 1: A While Language



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

## The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

$$\text{val}_\sigma(\mathbf{if}\ e\ \{\ s\ \}) = \{\{\text{val}_\sigma(e) = \mathbf{tt}\} \triangleright \langle\sigma\rangle \cdot \lambda(s), \}$$

# Case Study 1: A While Language

The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

$$\text{val}_\sigma(\mathbf{if}\ e\ \{\ s\ \}) = \{\{\text{val}_\sigma(e) = \mathbf{tt}\} \triangleright \langle\sigma\rangle \cdot \lambda(s), \quad \{\text{val}_\sigma(e) = \mathbf{ff}\} \triangleright \langle\sigma\rangle \cdot \lambda(\mathbf{[]})\}$$

# Case Study 1: A While Language

The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

$$\text{val}_\sigma(r; s) = \{ pc \triangleright \tau \cdot \lambda(r') \in \text{val}_\sigma(r) \}$$

# Case Study 1: A While Language

The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

$$\text{val}_\sigma(r; s) = \{pc \triangleright \tau \cdot \lambda(r'; s) \mid pc \triangleright \tau \cdot \lambda(r') \in \text{val}_\sigma(r)\}$$

# Case Study 1: A While Language

The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

The trace composition rule ( $\sigma$  concrete)

$$\sigma = \text{last}(\textcolor{blue}{sh})$$

---

$$\textcolor{blue}{sh}, \lambda(s)$$

# Case Study 1: A While Language

The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

The trace composition rule ( $\sigma$  concrete)

$$\sigma = \text{last}(\textcolor{blue}{sh}) \quad pc \triangleright \textcolor{teal}{T} \cdot \lambda(s') \in \text{val}_\sigma(s)$$

---

$$\textcolor{blue}{sh}, \lambda(s)$$

The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

The trace composition rule ( $\sigma$  concrete)

$$\frac{\sigma = \text{last}(\textcolor{blue}{sh}) \quad pc \triangleright \textcolor{teal}{T} \cdot \lambda(s') \in \text{val}_\sigma(s) \quad pc \text{ consistent}}{\textcolor{blue}{sh}, \lambda(s)}$$

# Case Study 1: A While Language



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

The trace composition rule ( $\sigma$  concrete)

$$\sigma = \text{last}(\textcolor{blue}{sh}) \quad pc \triangleright \textcolor{teal}{\tau} \cdot \lambda(s') \in \text{val}_\sigma(s) \quad pc \text{ consistent}$$

---

$$\textcolor{blue}{sh}, \lambda(s) \rightarrow \textcolor{blue}{sh} \textcolor{red}{**} \textcolor{teal}{\tau}, \lambda(s')$$

# Case Study 1: A While Language

The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

The trace composition rule

$$\frac{\sigma = \text{last}(\textcolor{blue}{sh}) \quad pc \triangleright \textcolor{teal}{\tau} \cdot \lambda(s') \in \text{val}_\sigma(s) \quad pc \text{ consistent}}{\textcolor{blue}{sh}, \lambda(s) \rightarrow \textcolor{blue}{sh} \textcolor{red}{**} \textcolor{teal}{\tau}, \lambda(s')}$$

The well-formedness predicate: Nada

The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

The trace composition rule

$$\frac{\sigma = \text{last}(\textcolor{blue}{sh}) \quad pc \triangleright \textcolor{teal}{\tau} \cdot \lambda(s') \in \text{val}_\sigma(s) \quad pc \text{ consistent}}{\textcolor{blue}{sh}, \lambda(s) \rightarrow \textcolor{blue}{sh} \textcolor{red}{**} \textcolor{teal}{\tau}, \lambda(s')}$$

The well-formedness predicate: Nada

The global trace semantics for program  $P$

$$\langle [x \rightarrow 0], x \in \text{vars}(P) \rangle, \lambda(P)$$

# Case Study 1: A While Language



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

The trace composition rule

$$\frac{\sigma = \text{last}(sh) \quad pc \triangleright \tau \cdot \lambda(s') \in \text{val}_\sigma(s) \quad pc \text{ consistent}}{sh, \lambda(s) \rightarrow sh \text{ ** } \tau, \lambda(s')}$$

The well-formedness predicate: Nada

The global trace semantics for program  $P$

$$\langle [x \rightarrow 0], x \in \text{vars}(P) \rangle, \lambda(P) \rightarrow sh_1, \lambda(s_1)$$

# Case Study 1: A While Language



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTR}}$$

The trace composition rule

$$\frac{\sigma = \text{last}(sh) \quad pc \triangleright \textcolor{teal}{T} \cdot \lambda(s') \in \text{val}_\sigma(s) \quad pc \text{ consistent}}{sh, \lambda(s) \rightarrow sh \textcolor{red}{**} \textcolor{teal}{T}, \lambda(s')}$$

The well-formedness predicate: Nada

The global trace semantics for program  $P$

$$\langle [x \rightarrow 0], x \in \text{vars}(P) \rangle, \lambda(P) \rightarrow sh_1, \lambda(s_1) \xrightarrow{*} sh_n, \lambda(\emptyset)$$

# Case Study 1: A While Language



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

The local evaluation rules

$$\text{val}_\sigma : Stmt \rightarrow 2^{\text{CTr}}$$

The trace composition rule

$$\frac{\sigma = \text{last}(sh) \quad pc \triangleright \textcolor{teal}{T} \cdot \lambda(s') \in \text{val}_\sigma(s) \quad pc \text{ consistent}}{sh, \lambda(s) \rightarrow sh \textcolor{red}{**} \textcolor{teal}{T}, \lambda(s')}$$

The well-formedness predicate: Nada

The global trace semantics for program  $P$

$$\langle [x \rightarrow 0], x \in \text{vars}(P) \rangle, \lambda(P) \rightarrow sh_1, \lambda(s_1) \xrightarrow{*} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} sh_i$$

## Observations

- No need to represent intermediate states in rules of composite statements
- Exactly one trace generated—only one path condition can be consistent (Expected: while-language is deterministic)
- Programs allowed to diverge
- Good match with program logic based on symbolic execution



- No symbolic traces needed
- No events needed
- No well-formedness needed

## Case Study 2: Procedure Calls



The local evaluation rules

Call a parallel procedure  $m$  with parameter  $e$ :

$$\text{val}_\sigma(\mathbf{call}(m, e)) = \{\emptyset \triangleright \text{invEv}_\sigma(m, \text{val}_\sigma(e)) \cdot \lambda(\langle \rangle)\}$$

## Case Study 2: Procedure Calls

The local evaluation rules

Begin to execute a parallel procedure  $m$ :

$$\text{val}_\sigma(m(x)\{s\}) = \{ \emptyset \triangleright \text{invREv}_\sigma(m, Y) \curvearrowright \sigma [ \quad Y \mapsto * ] \cdot \\ Y \notin \text{dom}(\sigma) \}$$

## Case Study 2: Procedure Calls

The local evaluation rules

Begin to execute a parallel procedure  $m$ :

$$\text{val}_\sigma(m(x)\{s\}) = \{ \emptyset \triangleright \text{invREv}_\sigma(m, Y) \curvearrowright \sigma[ \quad Y \mapsto * ] \cdot \lambda(s[x \leftarrow y]) \mid \\ y, Y \notin \text{dom}(\sigma) \}$$

## Case Study 2: Procedure Calls

The local evaluation rules

Begin to execute a parallel procedure  $m$ :

$$\text{val}_\sigma(m(x)\{s\}) = \{ \emptyset \triangleright \text{invREv}_\sigma(m, Y) \curvearrowright \sigma[y \mapsto Y, Y \mapsto *] \cdot \lambda(s[x \leftarrow y]) \mid y, Y \notin \text{dom}(\sigma) \}$$

## Case Study 2: Procedure Calls

The local evaluation rules

The trace composition rules

Continuations of parallel procedures selected from task pool (multiset)  $q$

$$\sigma = \text{last}(\textcolor{blue}{sh})$$

---

$$\textcolor{blue}{sh}, \textcolor{red}{q + \{\lambda(s)\}}$$

## Case Study 2: Procedure Calls



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

The local evaluation rules

The trace composition rules

Continuations of parallel procedures selected from task pool (multiset)  $q$

$$\frac{\sigma = \text{last}(\textcolor{blue}{sh}) \quad pc \triangleright \textcolor{teal}{\tau} \cdot \lambda(s') \in \text{val}_\sigma(s) \quad pc \text{ consistent}}{\textcolor{blue}{sh}, \textcolor{red}{q + \{\lambda(s)\}}}$$

## Case Study 2: Procedure Calls



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
DARMSTADT

The local evaluation rules

The trace composition rules

Continuations of parallel procedures selected from task pool (multiset)  $q$

$$\sigma = \text{last}(\textcolor{blue}{sh}) \quad pc \triangleright \textcolor{teal}{\tau} \cdot \lambda(s') \in \text{val}_\sigma(s) \quad pc \text{ consistent}$$

$$\textcolor{blue}{sh}, \ q + \{\lambda(s)\} \rightarrow \textcolor{blue}{sh} \text{ ** } \textcolor{teal}{\tau}, \ q + \{\lambda(s')\}$$

## Case Study 2: Procedure Calls

The local evaluation rules

The trace composition rules

Starting new task:

$$m(x)\{s\} \in \overline{M}$$

$$\sigma = \text{last}(\textcolor{blue}{sh}) \quad pc \triangleright \textcolor{teal}{T} \cdot \lambda(s') \in \text{val}_\sigma(m(x)\{s\})$$

---

*sh, q →*

## Case Study 2: Procedure Calls

The local evaluation rules

The trace composition rules

Starting new task: concretise method evaluation  $\tau$

$$\frac{m(x)\{s\} \in \overline{M} \quad \rho \text{ concretises } \tau \quad \rho(pc) \text{ consistent}}{\sigma = \text{last}(sh) \quad pc \triangleright \tau \cdot \lambda(s') \in \text{val}_\sigma(m(x)\{s\})}$$

---

$$sh, q \rightarrow \rho(sh) \text{ ** } \rho(\tau)$$

## Case Study 2: Procedure Calls

The local evaluation rules

The trace composition rules

Starting new task: concretise method evaluation  $\tau$  and extend task pool  $q$

$$m(x)\{s\} \in \overline{M} \quad \rho \text{ concretises } \tau \quad \rho(pc) \text{ consistent}$$
$$\sigma = \text{last}(sh) \quad pc \triangleright \tau \cdot \lambda(s') \in \text{val}_\sigma(m(x)\{s\})$$

---

$$sh, q \rightarrow \rho(sh) \ast\ast \rho(\tau), q + \{\lambda(s')\}$$

## Case Study 2: Procedure Calls

The local evaluation rules

The trace composition rules

Starting new task: concretise method evaluation  $\tau$  and extend task pool  $q$

$$m(x)\{s\} \in \overline{M} \quad \rho \text{ concretises } \tau \quad \rho(pc) \text{ consistent}$$
$$\sigma = \text{last}(sh) \quad pc \triangleright \tau \cdot \lambda(s') \in \text{val}_\sigma(m(x)\{s\}) \quad wf(\rho(sh) ** \rho(\tau))$$

---

$$sh, q \rightarrow \rho(sh) ** \rho(\tau), q + \{\lambda(s')\}$$

## Case Study 2: Procedure Calls

The local evaluation rules

The trace composition rules

The well-formedness predicate

$$wf(sh \curvearrowright invREv(m, v)) = wf(sh) \wedge \#_{sh}(invEv(m, v)) > \#_{sh}(invREv(m, v))$$

## Case Study 2: Procedure Calls

The local evaluation rules

The trace composition rules

The well-formedness predicate

The global trace semantics for program  $P$

$$\langle I_P \rangle, \lambda(P) \xrightarrow{*} sh, n \cdot \lambda(\emptyset)$$

## Case Study 2: Procedure Calls

The local evaluation rules

The trace composition rules

The well-formedness predicate

The global trace semantics for program  $P$

$$\langle I_P \rangle, \lambda(P) \xrightarrow{*} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} sh_i$$

The local evaluation rules

The trace composition rules

The well-formedness predicate

The global trace semantics for program  $P$

## Observations

- Local evaluation rules could be reused
- Minor modification to trace composition rule
- One new trace composition rule

# Case Study 3: ABS



# Case Study 3: ABS



Won't fit on one slide – read the paper!

The most modular concurrent semantics the world has ever seen?

- Presented version has statement-level process interleaving  
⇒ **Atomic**-by-default easily possible (as in ABS)
- Rules for nearly full **ProMeLa**, **ABS** in paper
- Dynamic logic **calculus** with soundness proof for while-language
- **Executable Isabelle mechanization** of most features by N. Heidler

# What is LAGC?

Lewd Artists Getting Canceled  
Literally Arrogant General Computer Scientists

Louisiana Associated General Contractors ([www.lagc.org](http://www.lagc.org))

Left Autoservo Gyro Control

Lazily Aggregated Gradient Coding

Lower Austrian Geo Cachers

Lovemaking Androids Grope Compulsively

Linguistically Advanced Grammatically Constrained

Laterally Askance Geometric Corpus

Ludo And his Geeky Colleagues

Liberally Applied Gherkin Cream

Last Australian Golf Club